

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	8:09CR453
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
JAIME PEREZ-VALENZUELA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Jaime Perez-Valenzuela (Perez-Valenzuela) ([Filing No. 30](#)). Perez-Valenzuela seeks until March 4, 2010, which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Perez-Valenzuela has filed an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act ([Filing No. 32](#)). Upon consideration, the motion will be granted

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Perez-Valenzuela's motion for an extension of time ([Filing No. 30](#)) is granted. Perez-Valenzuela is given until **on or before March 4, 2010**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **February 26, 2010 and March 4, 2010**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 26th day of February, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge